## Problem sheet 3: solutions

1. A Taylor series expansion gives

$$
\log (1+\varepsilon)=\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\log (1+r h+\sigma \Delta W)=r h+\sigma \Delta W-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(\Delta W)^{2}+O\left(h^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\widehat{X}_{T / h} \approx r T+\sigma W(T)-\sum_{n} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(\Delta W)^{2} .
$$

The exact solution is

$$
X(T)=\left(r-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right) T+\sigma W(T)
$$

and hence the error is

$$
\left.X(T)-\widehat{X}_{T / h} \approx \sum_{n} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(\Delta W_{n}\right)^{2}-h\right)\right) .
$$

Since the $\left(\Delta W_{n}\right)^{2}$ are independent with mean $h$ and variance $2 h^{2}$, the Central Limit Theorem tells us that in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$ the sum is Normally distributed with zero mean and variance $\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{4} T h$.
2. If $a=r S, b=\sigma S$, the suggested numerical approximation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{S}_{n+1}^{(p)}=\widehat{S}_{n}\left(1+r h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right) \\
& \widehat{S}_{n+1}=\widehat{S}_{n}\left(1+r h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{1}{2} r^{2} h^{2}+r h \sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(\Delta W_{n}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and following the same approach as in the previous question we find that the error is

$$
X(T)-\widehat{X}_{T / h} \approx \sum_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} h=-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} T
$$

3. (a) A bit of a trick question as the Milstein method in this case is the same as the Euler-Maruyama method since the volatility does not depend on $S$. Hence, in this case the Euler method has first order strong convergence, which explains the numerical results obtained in the last practical.
(b) In this case $b^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma S^{-1 / 2}$ and so the Milstein method is

$$
\left.\widehat{S}_{n+1}=\widehat{S}_{n}+\kappa\left(\theta-\widehat{S}_{n}\right) h+\sigma \sqrt{\widehat{S}_{n}} \Delta W_{n}+\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{2}\left(\left(\Delta W_{n}\right)^{2}-h\right)\right)
$$

On a practical note: there is a possibility that the numerical method could lead to $\widehat{S}_{n+1}$ being negative, in which case the square root becomes a problem for the next step. This is usually dealt with by modifying the square root to use

$$
\sqrt{\widehat{S}_{n}^{+}} \equiv \sqrt{\max \left(\widehat{S}_{n}, 0\right)}
$$

4. The Euler-Maruyama method for Geometric Brownian Motion gives

$$
\widehat{S}_{n+1}=\widehat{S}_{n}\left(1+r h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right)
$$

If we define

$$
s_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{\partial \widehat{S}_{n}}{\partial S_{0}}, \quad s_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{\partial \widehat{S}_{n}}{\partial \sigma}
$$

then straightforward differentiation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{n+1}^{(1)}=s_{n}^{(1)}\left(1+r h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right), \\
& s_{n+1}^{(2)}=s_{n}^{(2)}\left(1+r h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right)+\widehat{S}_{n} \Delta W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

with initial data $s_{n}^{(1)}=1, s_{n}^{(2)}=0$.
Now we have to consider each of the payoffs:
(a) For the barrier option we have

$$
\widehat{f}(\widehat{S})=\exp (-r T)\left(\widehat{S}_{T / h}-K\right)^{+} \prod_{n}\left(1-P_{n}\right)
$$

with

$$
P_{n}=\exp \left(-\frac{2\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-B\right)^{+}\left(\widehat{S}_{n}-B\right)^{+}}{\sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{n}^{2} h}\right)
$$

For Delta, differentiating these gives (when $\widehat{S}_{n+1}>B$ and $\widehat{S}_{n}>B$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \widehat{f}(\widehat{S})}{\partial S_{0}}= & \exp (-r T) s_{T / h}^{(1)} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{S}_{T / h}-K} \prod_{n}\left(1-P_{n}\right) \\
& -\exp (-r T)\left(\widehat{S}_{T / h}-K\right)^{+} \sum_{n}\left\{\left(\prod_{m \neq n}\left(1-P_{m}\right)\right) \frac{\partial P_{n}}{\partial S_{0}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\frac{\partial P_{n}}{\partial S_{0}}=-\left(\frac{2 s_{n+1}^{(1)}\left(\widehat{S}_{n}-B\right)+2\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-B\right) s_{n}^{(1)}}{\sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{n}^{2} h}-\frac{4 s_{n}^{(1)}\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-B\right)\left(\widehat{S}_{n}-B\right)}{\sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{n}^{3} h}\right) P_{n}
$$

The expression for Vega is similar, with $s_{n}^{(2)}$ instead of $s_{n}^{(1)}$, except that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial P_{n}}{\partial \sigma}=-\left(\frac{2 s_{n+1}^{(2)}\left(\widehat{S}_{n}-B\right)+2\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-B\right) s_{n}^{(2)}}{\sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{n}^{2} h}\right. & -\frac{4 s_{n}^{(2)}\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-B\right)\left(\widehat{S}_{n}-B\right)}{\sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{n}^{3} h} \\
& \left.-\frac{4\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-B\right)\left(\widehat{S}_{n}-B\right)}{\sigma^{3} \widehat{S}_{n}^{2} h}\right) P_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) For the lookback option

$$
\widehat{f}(\widehat{S})=\exp (-r T)\left(\widehat{S}_{T / h}-\min _{n} \widehat{M}_{n}\right)
$$

where

$$
\widehat{M}_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}+\widehat{S}_{n}-\sqrt{\left(\widehat{S}_{n+1}-\widehat{S}_{n}\right)^{2}-2 \sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{n}^{2} h \log U_{n}}\right)
$$

If we let $m$ be the timestep which gives the minimum (i.e. $\widehat{M}_{m}=\min _{n} \widehat{M}_{n}$ ) then Delta is given by

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{f}}{\partial S_{0}}=\exp (-r T)\left(s_{T / h}^{(1)}-\frac{\partial \widehat{M}_{m}}{\partial S_{0}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{M}_{m}}{\partial S_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{m+1}^{(1)}+s_{m}^{(1)}-\frac{\left(\widehat{S}_{m+1}-\widehat{S}_{m}\right)\left(s_{m+1}^{(1)}-s_{m}^{(1)}\right)-2 \sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{m} s_{m}^{(1)} h \log U_{m}}{\sqrt{\left(\widehat{S}_{m+1}-\widehat{S}_{m}\right)^{2}-2 \sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{m}^{2} h \log U_{m}}}\right)
$$

The expression for Vega is similar, with $s_{n}^{(2)}$ instead of $s_{n}^{(1)}$, except that

$$
\frac{\partial \widehat{M}_{m}}{\partial \sigma}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{m+1}^{(2)}+s_{m}^{(2)}-\frac{\left(\widehat{S}_{m+1}-\widehat{S}_{m}\right)\left(s_{m+1}^{(2)}-s_{m}^{(2)}\right)-2\left(\sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{m}^{(2)} s_{m}+\sigma \widehat{S}_{m}^{2}\right) h \log U_{m}}{\sqrt{\left(\widehat{S}_{m+1}-\widehat{S}_{m}\right)^{2}-2 \sigma^{2} \widehat{S}_{m}^{2} h \log U_{m}}}\right)
$$

