

February 4, 1977.

Ising inequalities, etc. (from Simon's book).

Start with an Ising model: Configuration space $\{-1, 1\}^n$ with energy

$$H(\sigma) = \boxed{\text{scribble}} - \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

where $a_{ij} \geq 0$ (energy decreases when spins ^{are} aligned). Thus we get the ^{prob.} measure

$$\mu(\sigma) = e^{-\boxed{\text{scribble}} H(\sigma)} / Z \quad \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^n$$

where

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^n} e^{-H(\sigma)}$$

Next suppose we ~~we~~ consider a ^{real} function $\boxed{\text{scribble}} \{-1, 1\}^n$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \sum h_i \sigma_i$$

This gives us a ~~measure~~ measure on \mathbb{R} , the image of the μ -measure under the function. The characteristic function $\boxed{\text{scribble}}$ of this measure is

$$\sum_{\sigma} e^{it \sum h_i \sigma_i} e^{-H(\sigma)} / Z$$

Put $u = it$ and let

$$F(u) = \sum_{\sigma} e^{u \sum h_i \sigma_i} e^{-H(\sigma)} / Z.$$

The claim then is that $F(u) \neq 0$ if $\text{Re}(u) \geq 0$ and the $h_i \geq 0$. This is a consequence of the Lee-Yang circle theorem, as

follows: Put $z_i = e^{+2u h_i}$

$$ZF(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \prod_i z_i^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + 1)} \prod_{i < j} (e^{a_{ij}})^{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \cdot (z_1 \cdots z_n)^{-1/2}$$

Lee-Yang says that for

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i < j} (x_{ij})^{-\sigma_i \sigma_j} \prod_i z_i^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + 1)} \quad -1 < x_{ij} < +1 \\ x_{ij} \neq 0$$

one has $|z_1| \leq 1, \dots, |z_n| \leq 1, |z_n| < 1 \Rightarrow P \neq 0$, so it works at least if the $a_{ij} > 0$. Rest by a limit process using Hurwitz thm.

I want to review my previous understanding of the ζ function.

Let C be a curve (complete non-singular) over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$. Then

$$\zeta_C(s) = \prod_{P \in C} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N(P)^s}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{D \geq 0} \frac{1}{N(D)^s}$$

where $N(P) = \text{card } k(P) = q^{\deg(P)}$

$$N(D) = q^{\deg D}$$

so if we put $z = q^{-s}$ one has

$$\zeta_C(s) = Z(z) = \sum_{D \geq 0} z^{\deg(D)}$$

Rewrite this sum over divisor classes

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(z) &= \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}(C)} z^{\deg L} \sum_{\substack{D \geq 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(D) \cong L}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}(C)} z^{\deg L} \frac{g^{h^0(L)} - 1}{g - 1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now use R-R

$$h^0(L) - h^0(K \otimes L^{-1}) = \deg(L) + 1 - g$$

Also recall that one has the analytic continuation:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} z^n = - \sum_{n < 0} z^n$$

Proof: \parallel for $|z| < 1$ \parallel for $|z| > 1$

$$\frac{1}{1-z} \underset{\text{for all } z}{=} \frac{-z^{-1}}{1-z^{-1}}$$

Assume known that C has a line bundle of degree 1 whence any L of degree n is of the form $L'(n)$ for a unique $L' \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$. Then

$$Z(z) = \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}^0} \sum_n z^n \frac{g^{h_0(L(n))} - 1}{g - 1}$$

If we use the formal relations

$$\sum_n g^n z^n = 0 \quad \sum_n z^n = 0$$

which can be justified by analytic continuation we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(z) &= \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}^0} \sum_n z^n \frac{g^{h_0(L(n))} - g^{n+1-g}}{g^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{g^{1-g}}{g^{-1}} \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}^0} \sum_n (gz)^n \left(g^{h_0(L(n)) - n - 1 + g} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{g^{1-g}}{g^{-1}} \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}^0} (gz)^{2g-2} \sum_n ((gz)^{-1})^{2g-2-n} \left(g^{h_0(K \otimes L^{-1}(-n))} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{g^{g-1}}{g^{-1}} z^{2g-2} Z\left(\frac{1}{gz}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which is the functional equation. Next I show

$$Z(z) = \frac{P(z)}{(1-z)(1-gz)}$$

where $\deg P(z) = 2g$. The point is that

$$(1-z)(1-gz)Z(z) = \frac{1}{g^{-1}} \sum_{L \in \text{Pic}^0} \sum_n z^n \left(g^{h_0(L(n))} - (1+g)g^{h_0(L(n-1))} + g g^{h_0(L(n-2))} \right)$$

Now from ~~R-R~~ one knows that

$$h_0(L(n)) = 0 \quad \text{if } n < 0$$

$$h_0(L(n)) = n+1-g \quad \text{if } n > 2g-2$$

Hence it is easily seen that because $x^2 - (1+g)x + g = (x-1)(x-g)$, that

$$g h_0(L(n)) - (1+g) g h_0(L(n-1)) + g g h_0(L(n-2)) = 0$$

if $n < 0$ or if $n > 2g$, hence $(1-z)(1-gz)Z(z)$ is a poly of degree $2g$.

Do the same for the Riemann ζ -function.

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \pi^s \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi t} t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= 2\pi^s \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi t^2} t^{2s} \frac{dt}{t}$$

~~Recall that if $\theta(y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 y}$ then one has $\theta(\frac{1}{y}) = y^{1/2} \theta(y)$~~

$$Z(s) = \zeta(s) \Gamma(s/2) \pi^{-s/2} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^s} 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi t^2} t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} [\theta(t) - 1] t^s \frac{dt}{t} = 2 \sum_1^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} [\theta(t) - 1] t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

where
identity

$$\theta(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} \quad \text{Recall Poisson}$$

$$\theta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = t \theta(t)$$

So

$$Z(s) = \int_0^{\infty} [\theta(t) - 1] t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^{\infty} [\theta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) - 1] t^{-s} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} [t \theta(t) - 1] t^{-s} \frac{dt}{t}$$

Using the formal identity $\int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha} dt = 0 \quad \alpha \neq 0$
which can be justified by analytic continuation
(better view both sides as distributions and $\int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha} dt =$
const. $\delta(\alpha)$), one gets

$$Z(s) = \int_0^{\infty} [t \theta(t) - t] t^{-s} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^{\infty} [\theta(t) - 1] t^{1-s} \frac{dt}{t} = Z(1-s)$$

which is the functional equation.

It's better to work with

$$\varphi(t) = \theta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi^2 n^2 / t^2}$$

which resembles $\zeta^{\text{hd}(L(u))}$ in that it has the asymptotic behavior:

$$\varphi(t) \sim t \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\varphi(t) \sim 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+$$

and these approaches are very fast:

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \theta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) = \theta(t) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{very fast}$$

So

$$Z(s) = \int_0^{\infty} [\varphi(t) - 1] t^{-s-1} dt \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1$$

Integrate by part

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s) &= \left[(\varphi(t) - 1) \frac{t^{-s}}{-s} \right]_0^{\infty} + \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\infty} \varphi'(t) t^{-s} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \left[\varphi'(t) \frac{t^{-s+1}}{-s+1} \right]_0^{\infty} - \frac{1}{s(1-s)} \int_0^{\infty} \varphi''(t) t^{-s+1} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore s(1-s)Z(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (-\varphi''(t)) t^{-s+1} dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} -\varphi''(t^{1/2}) t^{-\frac{s}{2}} dt$$

Replace s by $1-s$

$$(1-s)sZ(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (-\varphi''(t)) t^s dt$$

and this should converge for all s in \mathbb{C} .

$$\varphi(t) = t\theta(t) = \sum e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} t$$

$$\varphi'(t) = \sum e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} (1 - 2\pi n^2 t^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(t) &= \sum e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} [-4\pi n^2 t + (1 - 2\pi n^2 t^2)(-2\pi n^2 t)] \\ &= \sum e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} [4\pi^2 n^4 t^3 - 6\pi n^2 t] \end{aligned}$$

$$-\varphi''(t) = t \sum e^{-\pi n^2 t^2} 2\pi n^2 (2\pi n^2 t^2 - 3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1-s)(s)Z(s) &= \int_0^\infty (-\varphi''(t)) t^{s-\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_0^\infty (-t^{3/2} \varphi''(t)) t^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

Put $\gamma(t) = -t^{3/2} \varphi''(t)$. Then one can show

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

corresponding to symmetry of $(1-s)sZ(s)$ around s .
So we get the integral representation

$$(1-s)sZ(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(e^u) e^{(s-\frac{1}{2})u} du$$

where $\gamma(e^u)$ is ~~even~~ even in u , Too messy. You probably want a more sophisticated smoothing out of $\varphi(t)$, corresponding to a product

$$f(1-s)f(s)Z(s)$$

with f chosen very shrewdly.

February 5, 1977.

distribution of n -Bernoulli trials is

$$k \mapsto \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

Let $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. you make more and more trials but the expected number of successes np ~~is~~ is fixed.

$$\frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k}$$
$$\rightarrow \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$

~~Characteristic function~~ of this distribution is

$$\int e^{iut} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \delta(t-k)$$
$$= \sum \frac{e^{iuk} \lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{\lambda(e^{iu} - 1)}$$

so we have the formal relation:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \delta(t-k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iut} e^{\lambda e^{iu}} du$$

Compare this with expression for the Γ -function

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(s) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-e^v} e^{sv} dv \\ &= i \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} e^{-e^{iu}} e^{isu} du\end{aligned}$$

So we want to compare:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \delta(t+k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{+iatu} e^{-e^{iu}} du$$

$$\Gamma(t) = i \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{iatu} e^{-e^{iu}} du$$

One should notice also that $\Gamma(t)$ has simple poles at $t = -k$ with residue $\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$, because

$$\Gamma(t-k) = \frac{\Gamma(t)}{(t-k)(t-k-1)\dots(t-1)}$$

$$\Gamma(t) \sim \frac{1}{t} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

February 6, 1977.

Lee-Yang thm. (Asano proof).

Consider the class \mathcal{A} of ~~polynomials~~ polynomials $P(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ with complex coefficients of degree ≤ 1 in each of the variables such that $|z_i| < 1$ all $i \Rightarrow P(z_1, \dots, z_n) \neq 0$.

If ~~polynomials~~ P, Q have different variables and are in \mathcal{A} , then $PQ \in \mathcal{A}$.

If $P \in \mathcal{A}$ and x, y are two of the variables of P , then
$$P = A(z) + B(z)x + C(z)y + D(z)xy$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are the remaining variables. The Asano-contraction of P is the poly $\tilde{P}(w, z) = A(z) + D(z)w$. The claim is that $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{A}$. In effect we fix z with $|z_i| < 1$, then $P(x, y, z) = A + Bx + Cy + Dx^2$ has its two roots outside $|x| < 1$, hence the product of these roots $\frac{A}{D}$ has absolute value ≥ 1 . Hence the root of \tilde{P} , $w = -\frac{A}{D}$ has absolute value ≥ 1 .

Now ~~consider~~ start with example.

$$P(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{a} + az_1 + az_2 + \frac{1}{a} z_1 z_2$$

~~is better~~

$$P(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{a} (1 + a^2(z_1 + z_2) + z_1 z_2)$$

Better consider the polynomial

$$P(x, y) = 1 + ax + \bar{a}y + xy$$

where $|a| < 1$. Then $1 + ax + \bar{a}y + xy = 1 \Rightarrow y = -\frac{1+ax}{x+a}$

Note that if $x\bar{x}=1$, then $\overline{-\frac{1+ax}{x+\bar{a}}} = -\frac{1+\bar{a}\bar{x}}{\bar{x}+a} = -\frac{x+\bar{a}}{1+\bar{a}x} = \left(-\frac{1+\bar{a}x}{x+\bar{a}}\right)^{-1}$

hence $x \mapsto -\frac{1+ax}{x+\bar{a}}$ is a fractional linear transf. preserving $|x|=1$. As $-a^{-1}$ which is outside $|x|=1$ gets mapped inside it follows $|x|<1 \Rightarrow |y|>1$ when $P(x,y)=0$. So $P(x,y)$ is in the good class.

Note that if ~~$1+2bz+z^2$~~ $1+2bz+z^2$ has both roots outside of $|z|<1$, then ~~because~~ because the product of the roots is 1 they have to lie on $|z|=1$ and be of the form $e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}$, hence $b = \cos\theta$ satisfies $-1 \leq b \leq 1$. Thus if $1+ax+by+xy$ is in the class \mathcal{A} , we see that for any ζ with $|\zeta|=1$, that

$$-1 \leq a\zeta + b\zeta^{-1} \leq 1$$

$$\text{"} \\ (a+b)\cos\theta + (ai-bi)\sin\theta$$

So $a+b \in \mathbb{R}$, $ai-bi \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow a-b \in \mathbb{R}i$.

$$a+b = \alpha$$

$$a-b = \beta i$$

$$a = \frac{\alpha + \beta i}{2}$$

$$b = \frac{\alpha - \beta i}{2}$$

$\therefore b = \bar{a}$, $-1 \leq \alpha \cos\theta - \beta \sin\theta \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \leq 1$
by Cauchy-Schwarz. ~~Thus we have~~ Note

$$|a|=1 \Rightarrow 1+ax+\bar{a}y+xy = (1+ax)(1+a^{-1}y)$$

which vanishes only if $x = -\frac{1}{a}$ or $y = -a$ so it does not vanish for $|x|<1$ and $|y|<1$. Thus we have proved:

Prop.: The polys $1 + ax + by + xy$ not vanishing for $|x| < 1, |y| < 1$ are precisely those with $b = \bar{a}$ and $|a| \leq 1$.

In Simon's book Lee-Yang is stated for polynomials

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^n} \left(\prod_{i < j} x_{ij}^{-\sigma_i \sigma_j} \right) z_1^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + 1)} \dots z_n^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_n + 1)} \quad -1 < x_{ij} < 1$$

However note that if ϵ_{ij} is the sign (± 1) of x_{ij} , then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i < j} x_{ij}^{-\sigma_i \sigma_j} &= \prod_{i < j} (\epsilon_{ij} |x_{ij}|)^{-\sigma_i \sigma_j} \\ &= \left(\prod_{i < j} \epsilon_{ij} \right) \prod_{i < j} |x_{ij}|^{-\sigma_i \sigma_j} \end{aligned}$$

independent of σ

since $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \pm 1$ always. Thus the signs of the x_{ij} don't matter in Simon's formulation.

First note that

$$\sigma \mapsto \prod_{i < j} x_{ij}^{-\sigma_i \sigma_j}$$

is some sort of quadratic function on $\{-1, 1\}^n$ with values in \mathbb{R}^* . ???

~~Newman's basic examples of Lee-Yang polynomials as follows~~

Let u, u_i etc denote complex variables, which are going to be related to z, z_i by expressions of the form $z = e^{au}$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq 0$ so that $\operatorname{Re}(u) > 0 \iff |z| = e^{a \operatorname{Re}(u)} < 1$. LY in dim. 1 says that $1+z$ has zeroes outside of $|z| > 1$.

$$1+z = 1+e^{au} = e^{au/2} (e^{au/2} + e^{-au/2})$$

Change notation $z = e^{iau}$ with $a > 0$ so that $|z| = e^{-a \operatorname{Im}(u)} < 1 \iff \operatorname{Im}(u) > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1+z &= e^{iau/2} (e^{iau/2} + e^{-iau/2}) \\ &= 2e^{iau/2} \cos\left(\frac{au}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

so we see $\cos\left(\frac{au}{2}\right) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Im}(u) > 0$.

In dim 2, the LY polys. are

$$1 + \alpha z_1 + \bar{\alpha} z_2 + z_1 z_2 \quad |\alpha| \leq 1.$$

and by modifying z_1 by $e^{-i \arg(\alpha)}$ one can suppose α is real $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. So we get ~~up to~~ up to an exponential factor

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-\frac{i}{2}(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2)} + \alpha \left(e^{\frac{i}{2}(a_1 u_1 - a_2 u_2)} + e^{\frac{i}{2}(a_2 u_2 - a_1 u_1)} \right) \\ &\quad + e^{\frac{i}{2}(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2)} \\ &= 2 \left(\cos \frac{i}{2}(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2) + \alpha \cos \frac{i}{2}(a_1 u_1 - a_2 u_2) \right) \quad -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Better notation maybe is ~~z~~ $z_j = e^{2iu_j}$. Then the functions we know don't vanish ~~for~~ for $\text{Im}(u_i) > 0$

$$\cos(u) \\ \cos(u_1 + u_2) + \alpha \cos(u_1 - u_2) \quad -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Now we ~~set~~ set $u_i = a_i u + b_i$ $a_i > 0, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

You get

$$\cos(\lambda u + \beta) + \alpha (\cos(\lambda' u + \beta'))$$

where $\lambda + \lambda' > 0, \lambda - \lambda' > 0$ β and β' are arbitrary real numbers.

February 8, 1977

Lee-Yang thm.

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} c_I z^I$$

$$z^I = z_{l_1} \dots z_{l_k}$$

if $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\} = I$
 $l_1 < \dots < l_k$

$$c_I = \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in I'}} c_{ij}$$

where c_{ij} are complex numbers defined for $i \neq j$ such that $c_{ij} = \overline{c_{ji}}$ and $|c_{ij}| \leq 1$. Thus for $n=2$ we get

$$1 + c_{12}z_1 + \overline{c_{12}}z_2 + z_1z_2$$

which I've seen doesn't vanish for $|z_1| < 1, |z_2| < 1$. Note that

$$c_{I'} = \prod_{\substack{i \in I' \\ j \in I}} c_{ij} = \prod_{\substack{j \in I' \\ i \in I}} c_{ji} = \overline{c_I}$$

hence

$$z_1 \dots z_n P(z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1}) = \sum c_I z^I = \sum \overline{c_I} z^I = \overline{P(z)}$$

Suppose to begin with that $c_{ij} \neq 0$. Then we can write

$$c_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij} e^{-a_{ij}} \quad a_{ij} \geq 0 \quad |\varepsilon_{ij}| = 1$$

Put $\sigma_i = \begin{cases} +1 & i \in I \\ -1 & i \in I' \end{cases}$ whence $z^I = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + 1)}$

~~$$P(z) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in I'}} \varepsilon_{ij} e^{-a_{ij}} z^I = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \prod_{i \in I} \varepsilon_{ij} z_i^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + 1)}$$~~

Put $x_i = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + 1) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in I \\ 0 & i \in I' \end{cases}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{\mathbb{I}} &= \prod_{i < j} c_{ij}^{x_i(1-x_j)} = \prod_{i < j} c_{ij}^{x_i \cancel{(1-x_j)}} \frac{1}{c_{ij}^{\cancel{(1-x_i)}}} \\
 &= \prod_{i < j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{x_i \cancel{(1-x_j)} - x_j \cancel{(1-x_i)}} \prod_{i < j} e^{-a_{ij} [x_i \cancel{(1-x_j)} + x_j \cancel{(1-x_i)}]} \\
 &= \prod_{i < j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{+x_i} \prod_{i < j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{-x_j} \prod_{i < j} e^{-a_{ij} \frac{1}{4} [(\sigma_i + 1) \cancel{(1-\sigma_j)} + (\sigma_j + 1) \cancel{(1-\sigma_i)}]} \\
 &= \prod_{i < j} \varepsilon_{ij}^{x_i} \prod_{i > j} \varepsilon_{ji}^{-x_i} \prod_{i < j} e^{\frac{1}{4} a_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \frac{1}{2} a_{ij}} \\
 &= \prod_i \left(\prod_j \varepsilon_{ij} \right)^{x_i} e^{\sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{4} a_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j - \frac{1}{2} a_{ij}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now notice that \blacksquare we can absorb the first term into $z^{\mathbb{I}} = \prod_i z_i^{x_i}$ by replacing z_i by $(\prod_j \varepsilon_{ij})^{-1} z_i$. Therefore in formulating the Lee-Yang theorem at least for non-zero c_{ij} we can suppose $0 < c_{ij} \leq 1$ and hence that we are in the ferro-magnetic situation.



February 9, 1977

18

Next consider what happens when some of the c_{ij} are zero. If $c_{12} = 0$, then

$$c_I = 0 \quad \text{if } I \text{ separates } 1, 2$$

so

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\{1,2\} \subset I} c_I z^I + \left(\sum_{\{1,2\} \subset I} c_I z^{I - \{1,2\}} \right) z_1 z_2$$

~~already appears in contracted form. The effect of the contraction therefore is that~~ hence $P(z) = Q(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n)$

where Q is of the same sort but with $c_{ii}^Q = c_{ii} c_{2i}$. Hence it is clear that ~~unnecessary to do so~~ if we partition the variables according to the equivalence relation generated by the relation $c_{ij} = 0$, then P is obtained from a similar P on the equivalence classes.

~~the case~~

Of interest to me is the class of polynomials $P(z)$ of one variable with ex. coefficients such that the roots are on $|z|=1$ and stable under inversion $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$. ~~Under inversion~~ Observe that then the ~~complex~~ ^{non-real} roots occur in pairs $e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}$; ~~so that if~~ since

$$(z - e^{i\theta})(z - e^{-i\theta}) = z^2 - 2\cos\theta z + 1$$

one sees P has the form

$$P(z) = (z^p - 1)^p (z+1)^q \prod_{i=1}^m (z^2 + 2a_i z + 1)$$

with $-1 \leq a_i \leq 1$ and where $p, q = 0$ or 1 . Moreover

P has the symmetry property:

$$z^n P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = (-1)^p P(z) \quad n = p + q + 2m = \deg P.$$

Next suppose $z = e^{-2u}$ so that $\operatorname{Re}(u) > 0 \iff |z| = e^{-2\operatorname{Re}(u)} \leq 1$. Corresponding to the above poly. P one has the "trig." poly:

$$F(u) = e^{nu} P(e^{-2u}) = (-1)^p (e^u - e^{-u})^p (e^u + e^{-u})^q \prod_{i=1}^m (e^{2u} + 2a_i + e^{-2u})$$

which has the symmetry

$$F(-u) = (-1)^p F(u)$$

hence is either even or odd. $F(u)$ is the Laplace transform of a signed measure on \mathbb{R} supported at the integers between $-n$ and $+n$. For example

$$e^{2u} + 2a_i + e^{-2u} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ux} (\delta(x-2) + 2a_i \delta(0) + \delta(x+2)) dx$$

Thus a generalization of the class of real polys. with roots on $|z|=1$ stable under $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$ is the class of signed measures μ on \mathbb{R} with finite support which are even or odd such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ux} d\mu(x)$$

has its roots on $\operatorname{Re}(u) = 0$. For example take a Lee-Yang

polynomial

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_I c_I z^I$$

$$c_I = \prod_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in I'}} c_{ij}$$

$$0 \leq c_{ij} \leq 1$$

$$c_{ij} = c_{ji}$$

Then put

$$F(u) = P(\varepsilon_1 e^{\mu_1 u}, \dots, \varepsilon_n e^{\mu_n u}) e^{\frac{(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n)u}{2}}$$

where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \geq 0$ and $|\varepsilon_i| = 1$ (F will be real if $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$). Thus ~~if no $c_{ij} = 0$~~ if no $c_{ij} = 0$

$$F(u) = (\text{const}) \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^n} e^{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j} \prod_i \varepsilon_i^{\frac{-\sigma_i + 1}{2}} e^{\sum_i \mu_i \sigma_i u}$$

so the corresponding signed measure on \mathbb{R} ~~is~~ is

$$\mu(x) = (\text{const}) \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^n} e^{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j} \prod_i \varepsilon_i^{\frac{-\sigma_i + 1}{2}} \delta(x - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \sigma_i)$$

Note that ~~$\mu(-x)$~~ $\mu(-x)$ is given by the same expression but with σ_i changes to $-\sigma_i$. Since

$$\prod_i \varepsilon_i^{\frac{-\sigma_i + 1}{2}} = \prod_i \varepsilon_i^{\frac{+\sigma_i + 1}{2}}$$

$$\text{and } \varepsilon_i^{\sigma_i} = \varepsilon_i \text{ if } \varepsilon_i = \pm 1.$$

one has

$$\mu(-x) = \left(\prod \varepsilon_i \right) \mu(x)$$

so μ is either even or odd.