

April 11, 1977.

The spectral function of a SL problem.  
Start with the problem on a finite interval.

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = \lambda u \\ u(0) = u(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let  $u_\lambda(x)$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} Lu_\lambda = \lambda u_\lambda \\ u_\lambda(0) = 0 \\ u'_\lambda(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

so that the eigenvalues are the roots of  $u_\lambda(b) = 0$ . Let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$  be the eigenvalues.

If  $f = \sum a_j u_{\lambda_j}$  then

$$(f, u_{\lambda_j}) = a_j \|u_{\lambda_j}\|^2$$

$$a_j = \frac{(f, u_{\lambda_j})}{\|u_{\lambda_j}\|^2}$$

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum |a_j|^2 \|u_{\lambda_j}\|^2 = \sum \frac{|(f, u_{\lambda_j})|^2}{\|u_{\lambda_j}\|^2}$$

These formulas can be written

$$f = \int u_\lambda (f, u_\lambda) d\rho(\lambda) \quad \|f\|^2 = \int |(f, u_\lambda)|^2 d\rho(\lambda)$$

where  $d\rho(\lambda)$  is the ~~measure~~ measure with mass  $\|u_{\lambda_j}\|^2$  at  $\lambda_j$ .

According to the spectral theorem

$$L = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$$

where  $E_\lambda$  is the orthogonal projection on the subspace spanned by the  $u_{\lambda_j}$  with  $\lambda_j \leq \lambda$ . Thus

$$E_\mu f = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} u_\lambda (f, u_\lambda) d\rho(\lambda)$$

so  $E_\mu$  is represented by the kernel

$$e(x, y, \mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} u_\lambda(x) \overline{u_\lambda(y)} d\rho(\lambda).$$

Hörmander calls  $e(x, y, \mu)$  the spectral function of the self-adjoint operator defined by (1). He computes an asymptotic expansion of  $e(x, y, \mu)$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$N(\lambda) = \text{number of } \lambda_j \leq \lambda = \text{tr}(E_\lambda) = \int_0^b e(x, x, \lambda) dx$$

he obtains from his <sup>asymptotic</sup> estimate for  $e(x, y, \lambda)$  an asymptotic estimate for  $N(\lambda)$ .

Note that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE_\lambda = I$ , so that one should have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} e(x, y, \lambda) = \delta(x-y)$$

April 13, 1977

Consider the Schrödinger equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + (\lambda - g) \psi = 0$$

and let us try to construct a solution of the form

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = e^{iS(x, \lambda)} u(x, \lambda).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + (\lambda - g) \psi &= \frac{d}{dx} (e^{iS} (iS_x u + u_x)) + (\lambda - g) \psi \\ &= e^{iS} (-S_x^2 u + 2iS_x u_x + iS_{xx} u + u_{xx} + (\lambda - g) u) \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose  $S$  so that it satisfies the eikonal equation

$$S_x^2 = \lambda - g$$

Specifically put  $S_x = \sqrt{\lambda - g}$  and  $S(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x \sqrt{\lambda - g(t)} dt$

so that  $S(0, \lambda) = 0$  and

$$S(x, \lambda) \sim \sqrt{\lambda} x \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \quad x \text{ bdd.}$$

To have  $\psi$  a solution we need in addition

$$2iS_x u_x + iS_{xx} u + u_{xx} = 0$$

$$2i\sqrt{\lambda - g} u_x + \frac{i}{2} \frac{-g'(x)}{\sqrt{\lambda - g(x)}} u + u_{xx} = 0$$

or 
$$u_x = \frac{i}{2} (\lambda - g)^{-1/2} u_{xx} + \frac{g'(x)}{4} (\lambda - g)^{-1} u$$

$$= \lambda^{-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{g}{2\lambda} + \dots\right) \frac{i}{2} u_{xx} + \lambda^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{g}{\lambda} + \frac{g^2}{\lambda^2} + \dots\right) \frac{g'}{4} u$$

From this equation we can construct by iteration a formal solution

$$u(x, \lambda) = u_0(x) + u_1(x)\lambda^{-1/2} + u_2(x)\lambda^{-1} + u_3(x)\lambda^{-3/2} + \dots$$

with  $u(0, \lambda) = 1$ . For example from

$$u_0'(x) + u_1'(x)\lambda^{-1/2} + u_2'(x)\lambda^{-1} + \dots = \lambda^{-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{g}{2\lambda} + \frac{3g^2}{8\lambda^2} + \dots\right) \frac{i}{2} (u_0'' + u_1''\lambda^{-1/2} + \dots)$$

$$+ \lambda^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{g}{\lambda} + \frac{g^2}{\lambda^2} + \dots\right) \frac{g'}{4} (u_0 + u_1\lambda^{-1/2} + \dots)$$

we get

$$u_0'(x) = 0 \text{ hence } u_0(x) = 1.$$

$$u_1'(x) = \frac{i}{2} u_0'' = 0 \text{ hence } u_1(x) = 0$$

$$u_2'(x) = \frac{g'}{4} u_0 = \frac{g'}{4} \text{ hence } u_2(x) = \frac{g(x) - g(0)}{4}$$

Note on the other hand that if  $g' = 0$ , then  $u(x, \lambda)$  is to satisfy

$$2i\sqrt{\lambda - g} u_x + u_{xx} = 0$$

$$2i\sqrt{\lambda - g} x + \ln(u_x) = \text{const}$$

$$u_x = u_x(0, \lambda) e^{-2i\sqrt{\lambda - g} x}$$

~~u(x, \lambda) = u\_x(0, \lambda) e^{-2i\sqrt{\lambda - g} x}~~

~~Back to  $u(x, \lambda)$  ...~~

$$u(x, \lambda) - u(0, \lambda) = u_x(0, \lambda) \frac{e^{-2i\sqrt{\lambda-g}x} - 1}{-2i\sqrt{\lambda-g}}$$

But it's clear that  $u(x, \lambda)$  won't have an asymptotic expansion at  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  unless  $u(x, \lambda) = 1$ .

So I see that the Schrödinger equation (1) has a unique <sup>formal</sup> solution of the form

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = e^{iS(x, \lambda)} u(x, \lambda)$$

with  $S(x, \lambda) = \int_0^x \sqrt{\lambda - g(t)} dt$  and where  $u$  is a formal series

$$u(x, \lambda) = u_0(x) + u_1(x)\lambda^{-1/2} + u_2(x)\lambda^{-1} + \dots$$

~~where~~ where  $u(0, \lambda) = 1$ .

However it should be possible to construct a solution  $\psi^+(x, \lambda)$  of ~~the~~ the Schrödinger equation with

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(0, \lambda) &= 1 \\ \psi^+(x, \lambda) &\sim e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}x} \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(Now observe that the virtue of the phase function ~~is~~  $S(x, \lambda)$  seems to be that in the asymptotic expansion  $u(x, \lambda) = 1 + O(\lambda^{-1})$  uniformly for  $x$  bdd. Thus

$$e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}x} e^{i\int_0^x \sqrt{\lambda - g(t)} dt} = e^{i\sqrt{\lambda} \int_0^x (1 - \frac{g}{\lambda})^{1/2} - 1) dt} = e^{i\sqrt{\lambda} \int_0^x (-\frac{1}{2} \frac{g}{\lambda} + \dots) dt}$$

~~is~~ 
$$= 1 - \frac{i}{2} \lambda^{-1/2} \int_0^x g(t) dt + O(\lambda^{-1})$$

so one could instead ~~use~~ find a solution of the form  $\psi(x, \lambda) = e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}x} (v_0(x) + v_1(x)\lambda^{-1/2} + \dots)$   $\psi(0, \lambda) = 1$  except that one only has  $v_0(x) = 1$  and  $v_1 \neq 0$  in fact  $v_1 = -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^x g(t) dt$ .

April 15, 1977

$$u_2' \lambda^{-1} + u_3' \lambda^{-3/2} + \dots = \lambda^{-1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{g}{2\lambda} + \frac{3g^2}{8\lambda^2} + \dots \right) \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) (u_2'' \lambda^{-1} + u_3'' \lambda^{-3/2} + \dots) \\ + \lambda^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{g}{\lambda} + \frac{g^2}{\lambda^2} + \dots \right) \left( \frac{g'}{4} \right) (1 + u_2 \lambda^{-1} + u_3 \lambda^{-3/2} + \dots)$$

$$u_2' = \frac{g'}{4} \quad \text{coeff of } \lambda^{-1}$$

$$u_3' = \frac{i}{2} u_2'' \quad \text{" } \lambda^{-3/2}$$

$$u_3' = \frac{i}{2} \frac{g^4}{4} = \frac{i g^4}{8}$$

$$u_4' = \frac{g'}{4} (g + u_2) \quad \text{" } \lambda^{-2}$$

so 
$$u_2 = \frac{g(x) - g(0)}{4}$$

$$u_3 = i \frac{g'(x) - g'(0)}{8}$$

so 
$$\psi(x, \lambda) = e^{i \int_0^x (\lambda - g)^{1/2} dt} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4} (g(x) - g(0)) \lambda^{-1} + \frac{i}{8} (g'(x) - g'(0)) \lambda^{-3/2} + \dots \right]$$

is the asymptotic formula. This shows that we <sup>probably</sup> need to assume  $g$  is  $C^\infty$  in order to get the full asymptotic expansion.

Question: Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function of  $z$ . When is it of the form  $e^{a_1 z} u_1(\frac{1}{z}) + e^{a_2 z} u_2(\frac{1}{z})$  with  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  holomorphic at 0, and ~~how~~ how unique is this representation?

For example take a component ~~of~~  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  in the solution matrix for  $\frac{d^2 \varphi}{dx^2} + (\lambda - g(x)) \varphi = 0$  with  $g$  analytic near zero. ~~Then~~ Then does one have a representation

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = e^{i\lambda^{1/2} x} u_1(x, \lambda) + e^{-i\lambda^{1/2} x} u_2(x, \lambda)$$

where  $u_i$  are analytic in  $x, \lambda^{1/2}$  near  $\lambda^{1/2} = \infty$ ?

Example: Take  $P = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx}$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  with the periodic boundary conditions  $u(x+2\pi) = u(x)$ . Better to replace the line by  $S^1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{itP} f)(x) &= \left( e^{t \frac{d}{dx}} f \right)(x) = f(x+t) \\ &= \int_{S^1} \delta(x+t-y) f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

so  $\delta(x+t-y)$  is the kernel representing  $e^{itP}$ . On the other hand the spectral function ~~kernel~~  $e(x, y, \lambda)$  is defined by

~~so~~

$$P = \int \lambda dE_\lambda \quad (E_\lambda f)(x) = \int e(x, y, \lambda) f(y) dy$$

$$e^{itP} = \int e^{it\lambda} dE_\lambda$$

or taking kernels

$$\delta(x+t-y) = \int e^{it\lambda} \underbrace{de(x, y, \lambda)}_{\frac{de(x, y, \lambda)}{d\lambda}} = \int e^{it\lambda} \frac{de(x, y, \lambda)}{d\lambda} d\lambda$$

Fourier inversion gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de_\lambda(x, y)}{d\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-it\lambda} \delta(x+t-y) dt \\ & \quad \uparrow \text{the image in } S^1 \text{ of} \\ & \quad \text{contributes where } t = y-x. \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i(y-x+n)\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-in\lambda} e^{inx} \overline{e^{iny}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda) e^{inx} \overline{e^{iny}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $e_\lambda(x, y) = \sum_{n \leq \lambda} \frac{e^{inx} e^{-iny}}{2\pi}$ . Perhaps a better

formula, since  $\lambda$  can go to  $-\infty$  is

$$e_\lambda(x, y) - e_\mu(x, y) = \sum_{\mu < n \leq \lambda} \frac{e^{inx} e^{-iny}}{2\pi}$$

April 17, 1977.

Formula for systems:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda & \bar{p} \\ p & -i\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{i} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} & -\bar{p} \\ p & -\frac{d}{dx} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

When  $p$  is constant  $u_1, u_2$  are both killed by

$$\begin{vmatrix} i\lambda - \frac{d}{dx} & \bar{p} \\ p & -i\lambda - \frac{d}{dx} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (\lambda^2 - p\bar{p})$$

---

I want to understand Hörmander's method for obtaining the eigenvalue distributions.

Example: Consider  $p = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx}$

operating on functions  $\psi(x)$   $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ . We require periodic boundary conditions.

$$\psi(x+2\pi) = e^{i\theta} \psi(x).$$

Now Hörmander considers the operator  $e^{itP}$ . First one notes that  $P$  has the eigenfunctions

$$e^{i\lambda x} \quad \text{which has} \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\lambda x}|^2 dx = 2\pi$$

where  $e^{2\pi i\lambda} = e^{i\theta}$  i.e.  $2\pi\lambda = \theta + 2n\pi$

or  $\lambda = n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$   $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence  $e^{itP}$  is represented by the kernel

$$\sum_n e^{it(A + \frac{\theta}{2\pi})} \frac{e^{-i(n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi})x} e^{-i(n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi})y}}{2\pi}$$

$$= e^{i(t+x-y)\frac{\theta}{2\pi}} \delta_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}(t+x-y)$$

In the above  $0 \leq x, y \leq 2\pi$  but  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Here's how to get the eigenvalue distribution from  $e^{itP}$ . Since

$$P = \int \lambda dE_\lambda, \quad e^{itP} = \int e^{it\lambda} dE_\lambda$$

is represented by the kernel  $\int e^{it\lambda} dE_\lambda(x, y)$

where  $e_\lambda(x, y)$  is the kernel representing  $E_\lambda$ . Thus we can get  $\frac{\partial e_\lambda(x, y)}{\partial \lambda}$  by Fourier inversion:

$$\frac{\partial e_\lambda(x, y)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-it\lambda} e^{i(t+x-y)\frac{\theta}{2\pi}} \delta_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}(t+x-y) dt$$

$$= \sum_n \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-i(-x+y+\frac{\theta}{2\pi})\lambda} e^{in\theta}$$

$$= e^{i\lambda x - i\lambda y} \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda - \frac{\theta}{2\pi})$$

For some reason which is not yet clear the asymptotics of the eigenvalue distribution depend only on knowing the kernel of  $e^{itP}$  for small  $t$ .

Example:  $\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + (\lambda - g)\psi = 0$   $g$  constant

$$\psi(0) = \psi(\pi) = 0.$$



The eigenfunctions are

$$\begin{cases} \psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(nx) \\ \lambda_n = g + n^2 \end{cases} \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

~~The~~ Now consider the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - g \psi$$

$$\psi(0, t) = \psi(\pi, t) = 0$$

This has the general solution

$$\psi(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n e^{i\omega_n t} + b_n e^{-i\omega_n t}) \psi_n(x)$$

where  $\omega_n^2 = \lambda_n$  i.e.  $\omega_n = \sqrt{n^2 + g}$   $n=1, 2, \dots$   
 Suppose  $g$  positive so there is no ambiguity in  $\omega_n$ .

Introduce  $P^{1/2}$ . If

$$P \text{ is rep. by } \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 + g) \sin nx \sin ny$$

then

$$P^{1/2} \text{ is rep. by } \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n^2 + g)^{1/2} \sin nx \sin ny$$

and

$$e^{-itP^{1/2}} \text{ is rep. by } \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-it(n^2 + g)^{1/2}} \sin nx \sin ny$$

On the other hand if  $u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{+ix\xi} \tilde{u}(\xi) d\xi$  has compact support, then

$$(Pu)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1 e^{+ix\xi} (\xi^2 + g) \tilde{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

so that the obvious definition of  $P^{1/2}$  is

$$(P^{1/2}u)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-ix\xi} (\xi^2 + g)^{1/2} \tilde{u}(\frac{\xi}{g}) d\xi$$

except that we still have to make  $(\xi^2 + g)^{1/2}$  precise.  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

Follow Hormander + take the positive square root.  
Then you have

$e^{-itP^{1/2}}$  approximately represented by  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} e^{-it|(\xi^2 + g)^{1/2}} e^{-iy\xi} d\xi$

in some sense. The question is how can one use this ~~approximate~~ representation to get at the actual eigenvalue distributions. Note that the above gadget does not depend on the boundary conditions or even on the size of the interval.

Recall

$e^{-itP^{1/2}} \leftrightarrow \sum e^{-it\omega_n} \psi_n(x) \psi_n(y)$

so  $tr(e^{-itP^{1/2}}) = \sum_n e^{-it\omega_n} = \int e^{-it\omega} dN(\omega)$

where  $N(\omega) = \text{card} \{ \omega_n \mid \omega_n \leq \omega \}$ . Thus if I replace the actual kernel of  $e^{-itP^{1/2}}$  by the approximate one I find the approximation

$$tr(e^{-itP^{1/2}}) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-it|(\xi^2 + g)^{1/2}} d\xi \int_0^L 1 dx$$

" volume

$$\frac{1}{2} \int e^{-it|(\xi^2 + g)^{1/2}} d\xi$$

Suppose  $g=0$ . This is  $\int_0^\infty e^{-it\xi} d\xi$  so we get

the approximation  $N(\omega) = \omega$ .

suppose  $g$  not zero. To evaluate:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-it(\xi^2+g)^{1/2}} d\xi$$

$$\left(\xi^2+g\right)^{1/2} - \xi = \xi\left(\left(1+\frac{g}{\xi}\right)^{1/2}-1\right)$$

$$= \frac{g}{2} - \frac{g^2}{8\xi}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-it\left(\frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{g}{2}\right)} d\xi = \int_{g/2}^\infty e^{-it\xi} d\xi$$

so  $N(\omega) = \int_{g/2}^\omega d\xi = \omega - g/2$  which is consistent

with  $\omega_n = (n^2+g)^{1/2} \sim n + \frac{g}{2}$ .

So the result to be understood is why is it possible to replace the operator  $e^{-itP^{1/2}}$  which is defined using the boundary conditions by the Fourier integral operator.

so what we maybe should begin with is the case of the resolvent for the operator  $P = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + g$  with same boundary conditions. Here we calculate  $(\lambda - P)^{-1}$  as a pseudo-differential operator.

suppose  $(Gf)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} g(x, \xi) \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi$  satisfies  $(P-\lambda)Gf = f$  for  $f \in C_0^\infty(0, \pi)$ . Here  $P = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + g$ .

$$(P-\lambda)Gf(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{ix\xi} [(-i\xi)g + g_x] \right) \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} [$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((P-\lambda)Gf)(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[ -\frac{d}{dx} e^{-ix\xi} (\xi^2 g + g_x) + e^{ix\xi} (\xi - \lambda)g \right] \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} \left[ -(i\xi)(i\xi g + g_x) - (i\xi g_x + g_{xx}) + (\xi - \lambda)g \right] \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} \left[ \xi^2 g - 2i\xi g_x - g_{xx} + (\xi - \lambda)g \right] \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi
 \end{aligned}$$

We will have  $(P-\lambda)Gf = f$  if  $g(x, \xi)$  satisfies

$$(*) \quad \xi^2 g - 2i\xi g_x - g_{xx} + (\xi - \lambda)g = 1.$$

I claim one can always find a <sup>unique</sup> formal ~~solution~~ solution of (\*) of the form

$$(2) \quad \hat{g}(x, \xi) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n(x) \xi^{-n}$$

In effect we get the recurrence relations

$$\sum_n a_n(x) \xi^{2-n} - 2i a_n'(x) \xi^{1-n} - a_n''(x) \xi^{-n} + (\xi - \lambda) a_n \xi^{-n} = 1$$

or

$$a_n(x) - 2i a_{n-1}'(x) - a_{n-2}''(x) + (\xi - \lambda) a_{n-2}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=2 \\ 0 & n \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus starting with  $a_0(x) = 1$  we can grind out the rest of the coefficients.

The next point is that having constructed the formal solution (2) to (1) we can then find a  $C^\infty$  function  $g(x, \xi)$  which has  $\hat{g}$  as asymptotic expansion as  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $G$  is then defined using  $g(x, \xi)$ , we have

$$((P-\lambda)Gf)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} h(x, \xi) \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

where  $h$  is <sup>1+</sup> a  $C^\infty$  function with 0 asymptotic expansion.

i.e.  $h(x, \xi)$  is rapidly decreasing as  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that the kernel representing  $(P-\lambda)G^{-1}$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} h(x, \xi) e^{-iy\xi} d\xi$$

is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $x, y$ .

But suppose now that boundary conditions are given, ~~so~~ so that the operator  $R_\lambda = (P-\lambda)^{-1}$  exists for  $\lambda$  not an eigenvalue (e.g.  $\lambda$  not real). By Schwarz kernel thm.  $R_\lambda$  is given by a kernel and from

$$(P-\lambda)G = I + K$$

where  $K$  has a  $C^\infty$  kernel we get

$$(P-\lambda)(G-R_\lambda) = K$$

hence by regularity  $G-R_\lambda$  has a  $C^\infty$ -kernel. Thus modifying the function  $g$  without changing its asymptotic expansion one finds that ~~if  $R_\lambda = G$  on  $C_0^\infty$  functions with compact support. So then the two must be equal but it~~ ~~arranges that  $R_\lambda = G$  in particular that  $G$  satisfies the boundary conditions.~~ ~~arranges that  $R_\lambda = G$  in particular that  $G$  satisfies the boundary conditions.~~

But there should be a better reason that once one has exhibited the symbols for  $e^{-tP}$ ,  $P^s$ ,  $e^{-itP^{1/2}}$  that the Fourier integral operators associated to these symbols agree with these operators up to  $C^\infty$  kernels.

April 18, 1977

98

Here's what I understand of the Hormander eigenvalue distribution theory so far.

Let  $P$  be an elliptic ~~operator~~ operator, say  $\frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dx}$  on  $(0, 2\pi)$  to fix the ideas. Let  $\hat{P}$  be a self-adjoint extension, e.g. the one defined by the boundary conditions

$$\psi(2\pi) = e^{i\theta} \psi(0).$$

By the spectral theorem we can define the operator  $e^{-it\hat{P}}$ .  
Then we find the eigenfunctions

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{e^{i\lambda_n x}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad \lambda_n = n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-it\hat{P}} &\iff \sum_n e^{-it(n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi})} \frac{e^{i(n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi})x} e^{-i(n + \frac{\theta}{2\pi})y}}{2\pi} \\ &= e^{i(x-y-t)\frac{\theta}{2\pi}} \delta_{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}(x-y-t) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand using the symbol of  $P$  one can write down a Fourier integral <sup>operator</sup> candidate for  $e^{-it\hat{P}}$ :

$$(Pu)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \quad u \in C_0^\infty(0, 2\pi)$$

so the candidate for  $e^{-it\hat{P}}$  has the kernel

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{ix\xi} e^{-it\xi} e^{-iy\xi} d\xi = \delta(x-y-t)$$

---


What I want to understand, Given a Schrodinger equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + (\lambda - q)\psi = 0$$

on  $0 \leq x < \infty$ , let  $S(x, \lambda)$  be the solution matrix

$$S(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \varphi \\ \psi' & \varphi' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} S(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ q - \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} S(x, \lambda) \quad S(0, \lambda) = I.$$

Put  $\lambda = \mu^2$  and write  $S(x, \mu)$  instead of  $S(x, \mu^2)$ . 

~~limit point will be to~~

Assume now that ~~the~~  $q(x) \nearrow +\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , whence the spectrum is discrete and one is in the limit point case at  $x = \infty$ . Then for each complex number  $\lambda$  <sup>not an eigenvalue</sup>, there is a unique number  $m(\lambda)$  such that

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) + m(\lambda)\psi(x, \lambda)$$

is square integrable.

Maybe we would do better to introduce the solution  ~~$\chi(x, \lambda)$~~   $\chi(x, \lambda)$  which vanishes at  $\infty$ . It should be normalized ~~somehow~~ somehow. Note that  $\tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda) = f(\lambda)\chi(x, \lambda)$  still vanishes at  $x = +\infty$ , and that  $f(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\chi}(x, \lambda) \equiv 0$ . Thus perhaps  $\chi(x, \lambda)$  is uniquely defined if we require it has some sort of growth at  $\lambda = \infty$ .

~~for the~~ What we ultimately want is to compute the Fourier transform:

$$\chi(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\lambda\tau} \tilde{\chi}(x, \tau) d\tau$$

Let's begin again. Suppose  $\psi(x, \lambda)$  is a solution of the Schrodinger equation

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + q(x)\psi = \lambda\psi$$

whose initial values  $\psi(x_0, \lambda)$ ,  $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}(x_0, \lambda)$  are independent of  $\lambda$ . Does it follow that  $\psi(x, \lambda)$  has an asymptotic expansion

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}(x-x_0)} a_+(x, \lambda) + e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}(x-x_0)} a_-(x, \lambda)$$

where  $a_+$ ,  $a_-$  are holom. in  $\lambda^{1/2}$  at  $\infty$ ?

Example of the Bessel D.E.

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + e^{2x}\right)\psi = \mu^2\psi$$

with solution

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x, \mu) &\approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{e^x}{2}(e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha})} e^{i\mu\alpha} d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{e^x}{2}(t+t^{-1})} t^{i\mu} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} K_{i\mu}(e^x)\end{aligned}$$

Suppose we take the Fourier transform of  $\psi$

$$\begin{aligned}u(x, \alpha) = \tilde{\psi}(x, \alpha) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\mu\alpha} \psi(x, \mu) d\mu \\ &= e^{-\frac{e^x}{2}(e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha})}\end{aligned}$$

In general this will satisfy the D.E.

$$-\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + e^{2x} u = \int \mu^2 e^{-i\mu\alpha} \psi(x, \mu) d\mu = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \alpha^2}$$



Thus  $u(x, x)$  satisfies the wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - e^{2x} u$$

So now our problem appears to be to locate a potential  $g(x)$  such that the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - g(x) u$$

has a solution  $u(x, t)$  ~~that~~ rapidly decreasing as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$  with  $u(0, t)$  prescribed.