

inconclusive

July 5, 1970: Cohomology of the Sylow 2-subgroup of $GL_3 \mathbb{F}_2^d$.

Let $N(\mathbb{F}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset GL_3 \mathbb{F}_2^d$. Then

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & b \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = (e_{12}^a, e_{23}^b) = e_{13}^{ab}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & ab \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus ~~the~~ $N(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a Heisenberg 2-gp. and I know that

$$H^*(N(\mathbb{F}_2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2[t_1, t_2]/(t_1, t_2)$$

~~the~~ I can use the same method to compute $H^* N(\mathbb{F}_2^d)$. Indeed consider Hochschild-Serre for

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^d \rightarrow N(\mathbb{F}_2^d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2^d)^2 \rightarrow 1$$

Let $A = \mathbb{F}_2^d$, regarded as an algebra over \mathbb{F}_2 . Then

$$E_2 = S(A^* \otimes A^*) \otimes S(A^*)$$

with $d_2: A^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes A^*$ dual to the multiplication. Now one knows

$$A \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_2} \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}_2}^d \text{ as } \overline{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{ algebras}$$

hence $\{d_2 \lambda_i\}$, λ_i basis for A^* , is a regular sequence in $S(A^* \oplus A^*)$, and the spectral sequence has

$$E_3 = S(A^* \oplus A^*)/d_2(A^*) \otimes S(A^*)^{(2)}$$

and so we want to show that ~~the~~ $(E_2^{01})^2 \subset E_2^{02} = S_2 A^*$ consists of infinite cycles. Thus given $\lambda \in A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ must produce an extension of $N(A)$ whose restriction to the center is classified by λ^2 . It's enough to worry about a homomorphism $\lambda: A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ by "linearity",

so we arrive at this question: Can you produce an extension of $N(A)$ by A which induces the extension of A by A classified by the identity map?

~~Another formulation is whether the commutator of extension $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is algebraic? But this should be clear, because~~

See what happens when $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then the extension of the Heisenberg group $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ comes from the Euler class of the Heisenberg representation.

Suppose $[K: \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$, A, k as usual, and that G is a reductive grp. scheme over $\text{Spec } A$. Then I want to explain the relation between $G(k)$ and the ~~group~~ ^{equalizes} of ~~fid~~ and the ~~auto.~~ ^{auto.} of ~~BG_K~~ ^{BG_K} coming from monodromy. So form the situation over A_{nr} with residue field \bar{k} . Better you want to relate the Frobenius endo. of $G_{\bar{k}}$ to the monodromy auto.

Suppose G is a torus T with character group M which is a finitely gen. free abel. gp on which $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \pi_1 \text{Spec } A = \text{Aut}(A_{nr}/A)$ acts. (For example $T = G_m$, $M = \mathbb{Z}$ with trivial action) Now the endo. of $G_{\bar{k}}$ "geometric Frobenius" is the composite of the auto. of $T_{\bar{k}}$ produced by the generator of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the map $t \mapsto t^q$, I think.

Without twisting and just for G_m we have two things to compare

(a) ~~very~~ effect of Galois group of \mathbb{C}/K on $BG_{\mathbb{C}, \text{et}} = (BG_{\mathbb{C}, \text{cl}})$

(b) effect of Frobenius endo. of $G_{\bar{k}}$.

Thus for G_m we have the effect of Galois on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^\wedge = (\mathbb{Z})^\wedge$ and we have the effect of $\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^q$. Similar, but not on elements of \mathbb{C}^* . Thus on units in $\mathbb{Z}(\zeta)$ $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ we have no relation between q th power and ~~auto.~~

$$\sum a_i \zeta^i \mapsto \sum a_i \zeta^{iq}$$

July 10, 1970

Cohomology of $N(\mathbb{F}_2 d)$ where $N(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & 1 & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2 d$; then have a central extension

(*) $0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow N(k) \longrightarrow k \times k \longrightarrow 0$

giving rise to Hochschild-Serre spec. sequence

(**) $E_2 = S(k^* \otimes k^*) \otimes S(k^*) \quad k^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(k, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

The quadratic function giving the extension is computed by lifting & squaring

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & 0 \\ & 1 & a_2 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & 0 \\ & 1 & a_2 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_1 a_2 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

hence $Q(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2$, and so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d_2: E_2^{01} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{20} \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ k^* & & S_2(k^* \otimes k^*) \\ & \searrow \mu^t & \nearrow \\ & & k^* \otimes k^* \end{array}$$

where $\mu: k \times k \rightarrow k$ is the multiplication. Claim:

- (i) μ^t is injective and its image generates a regular ideal
- (ii) this ideal is stable under action of Steenrod algebra.

To prove these we can extend the base from \mathbb{F}_2 to $\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}$. Then

$$k^* \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{\mathbb{F}_2} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(k, \overline{\mathbb{F}_2})$$

has a basis consisting of the ^{set S} homomorphisms $\sigma: k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_2}$. Then $\mu^t(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma$ since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \xleftarrow{\mu} & k \otimes k \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma \otimes \sigma \\ \overline{\mathbb{F}_2} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \overline{\mathbb{F}_2} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}_2} \end{array}$$

commutes, hence the ideal generated by $d_2(k^*)$ ^{after base extension} is the ideal in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_2}[x_1, \dots, x_d, y_1, \dots, y_d]$, where $x_i = \sigma_i \otimes 1, y_i = 1 \otimes \sigma_i$ generated by the elements

$$x_i y_i,$$

hence the ideal is regular. But also

$$\begin{aligned} ? \quad S_{\mathbb{F}_2} (x_i y_i) &= \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} t_j x_i^{2^j} \right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} t_j y_i^{2^j} \right) \\ &= x_i y_i \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} t_j x_i^{2^j - 1} \right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} t_j y_i^{2^j - 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

so this ideal is closed under action of Steenrod algebra.

~~Therefore~~ (i) implies that

$$E_3 = \left[S(k^* \oplus k^*) / \mu^t(k^*) \right] \otimes S(k^*)^2$$

Now $Sg^1: k^* \xrightarrow{\cong} (k^*)^2$ and $d_3(Sg^1 x) = Sg^1(d_2 x) = 0$ because ideal is closed under Sg^1 . Thus $E_3 = E_\infty$.

7) (NO) Conclusion: ~~...~~ $H^*(N(k), \mathbb{F}_2) \cong S(k^* \oplus k^*) / \mu^t(k^*) \otimes S[(k^*)^2]$, ring isomorphism.

(NO) Better formula: $H^*(N(k), k) \cong k[x_\sigma, y_\sigma, e_\sigma]_{\sigma \in Gal(k/\mathbb{F}_2)} / \langle x_\sigma, y_\sigma \rangle$ where $x_\sigma, y_\sigma \in H^1 = Hom(N(k), k)$ are the homomorphisms $N(k) \xrightarrow{\sigma} k \xrightarrow{\sigma} k$, and e_σ is of degree 2.

Question: Canonical choices for e_σ ?

It seems that you might have made a mistake in proving (ii). Thus if $x \in H^1(N(k), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$ we do not have $Sg_{\pm}^1(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} t_j x^{2^j}$, unless x belongs to $H^1(N(k), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2)$.

Disaster: the variety of $S(k^* \oplus k^*) / (\mu^t(k^*))$ is a union of 2^d subspaces of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2^{2d}$ of dimension d . These subspaces are not rational over \mathbb{F}_2 , only 2 of them are so the ideal generated by $\mu^t(k^*)$ is not ~~...~~ closed under Steenrod algebra. Hence $d_3: (k^*)^2 \rightarrow E_3^{30}$ is non-zero and the ideal ~~generated by the image of the~~ of relations for $E_2^{*0} \rightarrow E_\infty^{*0}$ is not regular. Seems impossible to get a simple formula

July 19, 1970

The following seems to be what's involved in Sullivan's theorem: $BG = BImJ \times$ (another H-space) (at a prime l).
~~_____~~

Fix a prime l and a finite field F_q .
 Define

$$GL_n F_q \longrightarrow \Sigma_{q^n}$$

by considering the ~~_____~~ natural action of $GL_n F_q$ on F_q^n . The composition with the embedding of $\Sigma_{q^n} \rightarrow GL_{q^n} F_q$ induced the map $V \rightarrow F_q[V]$ on representations which is exponential. ~~_____~~ Taking the standard group ring ~~_____~~ filtration of the ~~_____~~ we see that for ~~_____~~ for a one-dimensional representation L we have

$$[F_q[L]] = 1 + L + \dots + L^{q-1}$$

(in fact $gr F_q[V] = SV/(V^q)$.)

so this operation is \int_q . Thus if we recall that

$$B \overset{E \times \mathbb{Z}}{\square} = \tilde{B} \left(\coprod_n GL_n F_q \right)$$

$B G [q^{-1}] = B \tilde{B} C$ where $C =$ category of finite sets of order q^n with product for operations.

then we have defined ^a maps

$$B \begin{matrix} E\mathbb{F}_l \\ \text{[scribble]} \end{matrix} \longrightarrow BG[l^{-1}]$$

whose composition with the map

$$BG \longrightarrow B((1 + E\mathbb{F}_l)^\otimes) [l^{-1}]$$

is β_l . To be on the really ~~safe~~ safe side I prefer to work with the maps

$$E\mathbb{F}_l \longrightarrow (1 + (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^\times)^\times \longrightarrow (1 + E\mathbb{F}_l)^\otimes [l^{-1}]$$

β_l

To prove the splitting theorem it therefore seems advisable to understand how β_l acts on $\pi_*(E\mathbb{F}_l)$ at the prime l , and this leads to Bernoulli nos. supposedly one gets an isomorphism on the l component when g generates \mathbb{Z}_l^* .

July 28, 1970: λ -ring structure on $K^0(X, A)$.

Let A be a commutative ring and

$$K^0(X, A) = [X, K_0 A \times BGL(A)^+]$$

for any space X . I recall that there is a canonical map

$$\bar{R}_A(\pi, X) \longrightarrow [X, \del{BGL(A)^+}]_0 = \varinjlim_n [X, BGL_n A^+]_0$$

if X is a pointed connected finite complex which is a universal map of $\bar{R}_A(\pi, X)$ to a "representable" functor. ~~□ ~~□~~~~

From this universal property it follows that the functor $\bar{R}(X, A)$ is a (reduced) λ -ring since \bar{R}_A is. Indeed the ~~□~~ product $R_A \times R_A \longrightarrow R_A \longrightarrow \bar{R}_A$ induces a product $\bar{R}_A \times \bar{R}_A$ and similarly for λ -operations. The various identities amongst the λ operations + sums + product also holds. Should be no problem adding on $K_0 A$ (ugh!)

Lemma: If $x \in \text{Im} \{ [X, BGL_n A^+]_0 \rightarrow [X, BGL(A)^+]_0 \}$, then $\gamma^i x = 0$ for $i > n$.

Claiming that $[X, BGL_n A^+] \longrightarrow [X, BGL(A)^+] \xrightarrow{\gamma^i} [X, BGL(A)^+]$ is zero for X finite complex. Enough to worry about finite skeleton of $BGL_n(A)$. So ~~□~~ $x = [E] - [n]$, E repn.

$$\gamma^i([E] - [n]) = \del{\gamma^i([E] - [n])}$$

$$\lambda_{\frac{t}{1-t}}(E) / \left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n t^i (1-t)^{n-i} \lambda_i E.$$

so its ~~is~~ clear.

So now we can ~~proceed~~ proceed as Atiyah does and conclude that the ~~monomials~~ monomials

$$0 = \gamma^{i_1}(x) \cdots \gamma^{i_k}(x) \quad \sum i_j > N$$

where N depends upon the integers ~~to~~ $n \geq x, -x$ come from dimension n . A corollary of is that the eigenspaces of Ψ^k on rational K -theory have eigenvalues k^j for various j . Indeed there is a map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n] = K(BU_n) \longrightarrow K(X, A)$$

$$[E_n] \longmapsto x$$

and in fact

$$K(\text{Grass}_{n,m}) \longrightarrow K(X, A)$$

so you can argue universally.

Thus we can decompose $K(X, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \bigoplus H^i(X, K_i A \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ into eigenspaces under Ψ^k 's, in fact it's enough to do this ~~is~~ for each $K_i A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Can speak of these weight spaces.

The conjectured stability theorem shows that every element of $[S^i, BGL(A)^+]$ comes from dimension $i+d$ where

$d = \dim \text{Max}(A)$, hence only the eigenvalues k^j ~~with~~
 $1 \leq j \leq i+d$ should occur (smallest k -stable subspace
of $K_i(A)$ containing x is spanned by x^j $1 \leq j \leq i+d$.)
On the other hand the étale Chern character (A f.t. 17)

$$ch_j^\# = (-1)^{j-1} c_j^\# : K_i A \longrightarrow H^{2j-i}(A)(j)$$

might produce ~~an~~ an eigenvalue k^j ~~with~~ with

$$(i < 2j \leq d+i) \quad (A \text{ affine}).$$

(since $H^0(A)(j)$ $j > 0$ has no invariants)

This tends to suggest that ~~in~~ in the affine situation
at hand ~~the~~ the étale Chern character might
be rather unfaithful even for $K_0 A$. (Can you give
an example of a ~~non-singular~~ affine variety of dim d
whose Chow ring is non-trivial in dimension $> \frac{d}{2}$?)
Yes take an affine non-singular curve such that $\text{Pic} \neq 0$ i.e. $g \geq 1$ minus a pt.)

Example: $K_1 A = A^* \oplus SK_1(A)$ and \mathbb{F}^k acts as k
on the part belonging to A^* .

For a finite field $K_t \mathbb{F}_q = \mu_{q^t-1}^{\otimes t}$ and \mathbb{F}^k acts
as k^t

Conjecture: $K_i A$ should contain \mathbb{F}^k -eigenvalues k^j
only with $\boxed{\frac{i}{2} \leq j \leq i+d}$. For a finite field OK
because

$$\frac{2t-1}{2} \leq t \leq 2t-1 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1$$

OK also for $K_2 F$ has \mathbb{F}^k -eigenvalue k^2 $\frac{2}{2} \leq 2 \leq 2$

What kind of structure can we produce for stable homotopy theory. We know that

$$[X, \mathbb{S}^0 \Sigma^+]_0 = \tilde{\pi}_0^{\mathbb{S}^0}(X)$$

is the universal "representable" functor on pointed connected finite complexes ~~receiving~~ receiving a map from the monoid

$$\lim_n [X, B\Sigma_n] = I(X)$$

of isomorphism classes of ~~finite~~ ^{finite} coverings of X . Thus on G -sets ~~we~~ we have sum, product, symmetric product, deleted symmetric product. In particular we see that the Adams operations ~~act as the identity~~ act as the identity, e.g.

NO

$$S^2 X - \Lambda^2 X = X.$$

more generally Question: Are the Adams operations trivial on \mathbb{Z} -K-theory, ~~does~~ $\Psi^k = id$ on $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$?

~~Serre~~ Serre shows that for G finite

$$\bigoplus_p R_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G) \longrightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G) \longrightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, and one knows that Ψ^k ~~acts~~ acts trivially if k is prime to the order of the group ~~by the Galois theory interpretation~~ by the Galois theory interpretation. So if we take k to be the exponent of the group, then Ψ^k is non-trivial on $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ hence non-trivial on $R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$.

Paradox: On $K, \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z}_2$, \mathbb{F}^2 is not the identity but this element is in the image of ~~π_1^S~~
 $\pi_1^S \rightarrow K, \mathbb{Z}$?

Given a group G we consider the Grothendieck group of finite G -sets, kG and the homomorphism

$$kG \longrightarrow R_{\mathbb{Z}}(G)$$

which associates to a G -set S , the G -module $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. This map is a ring homomorphism and if \mathcal{S} set $S_2(S) = S \times S / \mathbb{Z}_2$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}[S_2(S)] = S_2(\mathbb{Z}[S]).$$

so ψ^2 .

Mistake occurs in identifying $\mathbb{Z}[S_2(S) - S]$ with $\Lambda_2(\mathbb{Z}[S])$

Question: ^{Does} kG ^{become} a λ -ring by means of the symmetric powers? If not what is the structure obtained and does one get interesting operations on $\pi_S^0 X$?

Power operations: $kG \longrightarrow k(\Sigma_n \times G)$ induced by $S \rightarrow S^n$

A ring of dim $\text{Max } A = 1$. Then $K_1 A$ generated by $\text{GL}_2 A$ according to Bass. $K_1 A = A^* \oplus SK_1(A)$. If E is a 2-dimensional representation

$$\lambda_t(E-2) = \frac{1+tE+t^2\lambda^2 E}{(1+t)^2}$$

Assume $\lambda^2 E = 1$. To compute $\Psi^k E$.

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

$$\lambda(E-2) = \frac{(1+2t+t^2) + t(E-2)}{(1+t)^2} = 1 + \frac{t}{(1+t)^2} (E-2) = 1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} k(-t)^k (E-2)$$

$$\frac{1}{1+t} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-t)^k \Rightarrow \frac{+t}{(1+t)^2} = \sum_{k \geq 1} -k(-t)^{k-1}$$

$$\Psi^t = \Psi^0 - t \frac{d}{dt} \log \lambda_{-t}$$

$$\Psi^t L = 1 + \frac{tL}{1-tL} = \frac{1}{1-tL} \checkmark$$

$$\log \lambda_{-t}(E) = \log \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} k t^k (E-2) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 1} k t^k (E-2)$$

since $(E-2)^2 = 0$
over a sphere

$$\Psi^t(E-2) = + \sum k^2 t^k (E-2)$$

Thus $\Psi^k(E-2) = k^2(E-2)$, ~~so~~ in the case at hand

$$\boxed{\Psi^k(x) = x^2 \quad \text{if } x \in SK_1(A) \quad \dim \max A = 1}$$