

5) Question is still what to do about B? Actually ~~it is clear~~.

$$A * \mathbb{C}[h] = R_A \left(\underbrace{A \oplus A \otimes A \oplus \dots}_{\text{not a DG algebra otherwise would be acyclic.}}$$

Relative $X_A(A * \mathbb{C}[h])$, is this closed to $\bar{\Omega} \tilde{A}$.

Let me consider stupidities and similar things. Go back to

$$\bar{R} \tilde{A} \longrightarrow RA$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bar{R} \tilde{A} \longrightarrow RC \times RA \\ \bar{\Omega} \tilde{A} \longrightarrow \Omega C \times \Omega A \end{array}$$

So the first point is clear.

$$\bar{\Omega} \tilde{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$$

$\Omega(\mathbb{C}[e])$ basis $1, e, de^n, ede^n$

Question: Is there a good free DG alg resolution of ~~$A * \mathbb{C}[h]$~~ $A * \mathbb{C}[F]$? Yes the cobar or the bar construction

$$\Omega A \subset A * \mathbb{C}[F] = QA \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}[F]$$

$$a_0 da_1 \dots da_n \mapsto a[F, a_1] \dots [F, a_n]$$

t) So the first thing of interest might be to construct this resolution

$$0 \leftarrow C^{\lambda}(A) \leftarrow C^{\lambda}(A) \xrightarrow{+1} B \xleftarrow{+1} B_{\sigma}^{\otimes 2} \leftarrow$$

For example can you produce a map from $B = \text{Bar}(A)$ to $C^{\lambda}(A)$ using the identity of A . Dually can you produce a map

$$T(A^*) \xrightarrow{-1} T(A^*)$$

effect of a derivation

Parts of this should be easy.

$$T(A^*) \hookrightarrow T(A^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\varepsilon^*) = T(A^*) \oplus T(A^*) \varepsilon^* T(A^*)$$

Let's examine this carefully. We have the algebra A with identity. Have ~~be~~ graded algebra $T(A^*) * \mathbb{C}[h]$ $|h|=1$ $|A^*|=1$. δ differential $A^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes A^*$ transpose of multiplication. Now the question is — what the difficulty with the other differential.

Map of exact sequences agreeing with the map from cyclic to reduced cyclic homology. (?)

The exact sequences $\{m_r\}$ were derived in [Q2] by means of spectral sequences.

quasi-free algebras. Tubular neighborhoods, connections, geodesic flow make sense for

varieties and manifolds.

Quasi-free algebras are noncommutative analogues of nonsingular

using quasi-free algebras.

My work with Cuntz has led to a new approach to cyclic homology

extension and cocycles with respect to $\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}$.

the close relation between traces on the universal extension, given by the first author [Cu] using the universal extension,

u)
$$\begin{array}{c}
 d_{n_4} \longrightarrow \\
 \uparrow \\
 g_2 \quad ch_2 \xrightarrow{B} \\
 \uparrow g_1 \quad \uparrow b \\
 \quad \quad ch_0 \xrightarrow{g^{-1}}
 \end{array}$$

So if we have $Z \rightarrow E$ zero, then $g_1 = g_3 = 0$.
so our map consists of g^{-1}

Direction to head . You have this diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & K = K & & & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & \tilde{A} \otimes \tilde{B} & \rightarrow & \tilde{A} & \rightarrow & \Omega A & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
 0 \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & \Omega A & \rightarrow & \tilde{\Omega} A & \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

E depends on the choice of p it seems.

and you have a canonical lifting

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & K & \\
 & \uparrow & \\
 \tilde{\Omega} \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 & & \Omega A
 \end{array}$$

I know $\tilde{\Omega} \tilde{A} \rightarrow E$ is a seq of mixed cxs.
I would like to ~~prove~~ construct ~~an explicit~~ this seq explicitly. The first step would be to map E into $\tilde{\Omega} \tilde{A}$. Now K depends only on $A = \mathbb{C}$, so it ought to be easy to map K into $\tilde{\Omega} \tilde{A}$. What ~~is~~ actually happens for ~~the~~ $A = \mathbb{C}$? $P \tilde{\Omega} \tilde{A} = E$.

⊙ ~~ede~~

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(ede^n) &= (-1)^{n-1} deede^{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} (1-e) de^n \\
 K(de^n) &= (-1)^{n-1} de^n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$v) \quad K(ede^n) = (-1)^n ede^n + (-1)^{n-1} de^n$$

$$K\left(\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^n\right) = (-1)^{n-1} de\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^{n-1} \\ = (-1)^{n-1} \left(-e + \frac{1}{2}\right)de^n$$

$$\boxed{K\left(\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^n\right) = (-1)^n \left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^n} \\ K(de^n) = (-1)^{n-1} de^n$$

So $P\bar{\Omega}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ consists of $e, de, \left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^2, \dots, de^3$

$$B\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^{2n} = (2n+1)de^{2n+1}$$

~~$$b(de^{2n+1}) = de^{2n}e - e de^{2n}$$~~

$$b\left(\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^{2n}\right) = -\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^{2n-1}e + e\left(e - \frac{1}{2}\right)de^{2n-1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow P\bar{\Omega}\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow P\bar{\Omega}\tilde{A} \longrightarrow \square \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^\lambda(A) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} C^\lambda(A)/C^\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \\ \bar{C}^\lambda(A) \end{array} \longrightarrow 0$$

So we come back to the same problem, namely constructing a lifting from $\bar{C}^\lambda(A)$ into $C^\lambda(A)/C^\lambda(\mathbb{C})$. We know this exists. But the question is how to construct it.

w) A line of attack would be to analyze proofs that ~~$C^\lambda(A) \rightarrow C^\lambda(\mathbb{C})$~~ the surjection $C^\lambda(A)/C^\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \bar{C}^\lambda(A)$ is a quas. I remember there being several arguments,

~~the~~ filtration argument, in Tacek's paper

LQ argument.

$$C^\lambda(A)/C^\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \sim B(\bar{\Omega}\tilde{A})/B(\bar{\Omega}\tilde{\mathbb{C}})$$

↓ quas

$$B(\bar{\Omega}A)/B(\mathbb{C})$$

||

$$\bar{C}^\lambda(A) \sim B(\bar{\Omega}A)$$

Another version goes as follows:

~~$C^\lambda(A)$~~
 ~~$C^\lambda(\mathbb{C})$~~

$$\bar{C}^\lambda(A \oplus \varepsilon A) \sim \bar{C}^\lambda(\mathbb{C} + \varepsilon \mathbb{C}) = C^\lambda(\mathbb{C})$$

↳ double complex
Cone $(CC(A) \rightarrow \bar{C}^\lambda(A))$

Relative Lie algebra cohomology

$$H_*(\mathfrak{gl}(A), \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}))$$

↳ reductive in \mathfrak{g}

\mathcal{P}_x

$$E \rightarrow P\bar{\Omega}A \rightarrow 0$$

y) Then $[b', d](\sigma) = b'(\sigma\xi) + d(b'(\sigma))$
 $= \sigma b'(\xi) = \sigma$

$$[b', d](\frac{\sigma}{2}) = b'(-\xi^2) + d(b'(\xi)) = 0.$$

New approach. Go back to ~~$A = \mathbb{C}\langle \xi \rangle$~~

$$T(A^*) = T(\bar{A}^*) * \mathbb{C}[\rho]$$

$$d\rho + \rho^2 = 0$$

$$d\theta^i + [\rho, \theta^i] = 0$$

dual numbers case.

Do I know the homology of $(T(A^*), d)$ in an intelligent way.

Can I get from this problem to something I know

~~Start~~ Repeat. Consider $T(A^*) = T(\bar{A}^*) * \mathbb{C}[\rho]$
 with $d\rho + \rho^2 = 0$, $d\theta^i + [\rho, \theta^i] = 0$ dual nos.

I want the homology of $(T(\bar{A}^*) * \mathbb{C}[\rho])$ wrt d . ~~is there some way to descend.~~

March 7 I want to organize a lecture
 standard resolution

$$R = A * \mathbb{C}[\xi]$$

$$|\xi| = 1$$

$$\cong A \oplus$$

Important idea. Let R be a free DG algebra.
 Then ~~there~~ we know there is an S operation on
 the homology of $\bar{R}_q = R / (\mathbb{C} + [R, R])$

$$0 \leftarrow \bar{R}_q \xleftarrow{\gamma} \bar{R} \xleftarrow{\beta} \Omega^1(R)_q \xleftarrow{\alpha} \bar{R}_q \xleftarrow{\delta} 0$$

You have to understand this operation theoretically and
 the idea is Tsyganis - somehow associated to the
 action of the DG Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}\xi$ $\xi^2 = 0$ on R .